

**Student Presenter Name:**

**Presentation Reviewer Name:**

**Poster Number:**

**Please rate each criterion using the scale below.**

**5= Exceptional    4= Above Average    3=Average    2=Below Average    1=Weak**

<b>IMPACT OF VISUAL CONTENT AND NARRATIVE</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>
<b>WHY:</b> The student(s) showed a strong conceptual understanding of his/her(their) research.		<b>x 3</b>	
<b>WHAT/HOW:</b> The student(s) clearly described the study: (1) background/introduction, (2)aim(s)/ hypothesis(es)/objectives, (3) methodology (e.g., study design, data collection procedures, data analyses), (4) results, (5) limitations, and (6) conclusions.		<b>x 6</b>	
<b>WHAT NEXT:</b> Findings clearly supported the direction of future research. The implication(s) of the research was(were) clear.		<b>x 2</b>	
<b>IMPACT OF PRESENTATION</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Sub-Total</b>
<b>LOGICAL FLOW:</b> The presentation followed a logical flow (e.g. introduction/background, research design/methods, results, limitations, and conclusions).		<b>x 3</b>	
<b>PREPAREDNESS AND PROFESSIONALISM:</b> The student was well prepared and professional (e.g., minimal pauses, good eye contact).		<b>x 3</b>	
<b>VERBAL:</b> The student was clear, articulate, and concise.		<b>x 3</b>	
	<b>Total Score:</b>		

**Did you have any concerns regarding this student and/or research project? If so, please describe below or on the back (these observations will remain confidential):**